

Diego Kuonen appeals to data miners and bioinformaticians to trust each other and to collaborate in unlocking secrets of the living cell

From a statistical data miner’s perspective, most bioinformaticians tend to be ignorant of statistical data mining, are too impatient for solutions, expect the statistical data miners to know the solutions long before they have any data, will only use the latest algorithms, ignore software unless it is easy to use, and are always updating the data files. On the other hand, from a bioinformatician’s perspective, statistical data miners do not understand the biological questions, take too long to come up with answers, moan about sample size and replication, speak a different scientific language, speak different programming languages, and use dreadful software. Hence, bioinformaticians and statistical data miners tend to criticise each other.

However, the field of bioinformatics, like statistical data mining, concerns itself with learning from data. Statistical data mining is fundamental to what bioinformatics is really trying to achieve. There is the opportunity for an immensely rewarding synergy between bioinformaticians and data miners.

The genome is the total amount of genetic information that an organism possesses; it is the biological ‘program’ for making the organism. Genes in turn are made from DNA, which stores information in the form of a sequence of nucleotide bases, a string of four letters (Adenine, Cytosine, Guanine and Thymine). There are about three billion such letters in the human genome. A sequence example is: TTCAGCCGATATCTCAGATCTCTAGTCCCTAGAGTGGCTATAGGACCAGTCTAAGAGA. The human genome has about 30,000 to 35,000 genes, segments of DNA that contain the recipe to make proteins. Proteins are the crucial molecules that do most of a cell’s work.

The ‘central dogma of molecular biology’ states that, in a cell, information flows from the nuclear DNA, to RNA, to protein synthesis. Proteins in their turn are built from amino acids (whose recipes are contained in the genetic code of the DNA), and a protein sequence can be represented by a string made up from 20 different letters, each standing for an amino acid. Stored digitally in computers worldwide are trillions of sequences: that is, trillions of pieces of information that need to be turned into knowledge. The mountain of information that is, for example, the draft sequence of the human genome may be impressive, but without interpretation that is all it remains: a mass of data.

Identifying and interpreting interesting patterns hidden within the immense list of bases that constitute a genome is a critical goal. This is one of the topics of bioinformatics. More generally, bioinformatics is the science of storing, extracting, organising, analysing, interpreting, and utilising information from biological sequences and molecules. Bioinformatics merges new technologies, such as sequence and transcriptome analysis, with computer science and advanced statistical (data mining) methods to organise, analyse and interpret data.

One of the most basic operations in bioinformatics involves searching for similarities, or homologies, between a (newly) sequenced piece of DNA and previously sequenced DNA segments from various organisms (‘pair-wise sequence alignments’). Finding near-matches allows
researchers to predict the type of protein the (new) sequence encodes. This not only yields ‘targets’ early in drug development, but also weeds out many that would have turned out to be dead-ends. The most popular bioinformatics tool for this task is BLAST, whose core part is a beautiful and powerful example of the application of probability theory and statistics (comprising aspects of random walk theory, renewal theory, and asymptotic distribution theory) within bioinformatics.

However, even with such pairwise alignments, interpretation is a bit of an art. On the other hand, for ‘multiple sequence alignments’ the scores that say how reliable the database search is do not yet exist. In addition, multiple sequence alignment methods are not perfect, as exact algorithms exhaust current computational resources. Hence imperfect heuristic algorithms, such as the ClustalW algorithm, are used. The people who make them know this, and the users who apply them should also consider this fact.

Multiple alignments are the basis for the construction of phylogenetic trees. On the other hand, multiple alignment aims at aligning a whole set of sequences to determine which sub-sequences are conserved – and this works best when a phylogenetic tree of related proteins is available! The resources available for making multiple sequence alignments online are almost overwhelming, using, for example, the Gibbs sampler, genetic algorithms or simulated annealing.

Sequence analysis seeks to tease out information based on a sequence itself, or on the similarity of one sequence to another (‘pair-wise alignment’), or on patterns among groups of sequences (‘clustering’). Another example of unsupervised learning is affinity grouping (‘categorical sequence mining’), where one wants to discover sequences that commonly occur together, such as in a set of DNA sequences AGTC is followed by GTCA after a gap of nine, with a probability of 30 per cent. On the other hand, supervised data mining techniques can be applied as well. For example, the goal of DNA sequence classification is to distinguish junk segments from coding segments, and this can be done using supervised learning.

Sequence data are not the only digital biological information available to researchers. Another data-type beginning to fill countless disk drives is that resulting from gene-expression analysis. Genomic sequence itself reveals only the possibilities of genetic manifestation. Within any given cell, only a small fraction of genes are ‘expressed’, that is, actively translated into proteins through intermediate RNA molecules. In the past few years, a new technology, called DNA micro-arrays (or gene chips), has attracted tremendous interests among biologists. This technology promises to monitor gene expression on a single chip, so that researchers can have a better picture of the interactions among hundreds to thousands of genes simultaneously.

Micro-array experiments produce two-dimensional gene expression images; images that must be converted to numbers before analysis can proceed. These image files require significantly more storage than one-dimensional sequence data. Complex image analysis techniques are needed to extract quantitative cleaned-up expression data from the images.

Once the data is derived from the images, the computational problem can become one of unsupervised statistical data mining; looking for patterns of expression across thousands of genes (high dimensional data) from any number of samples (normally only containing a very limited number). Unsupervised techniques used include hierarchical clustering, k-means, or self-organising maps, in order to identify new subgroups or classes, and association analysis.

There are two ways in which clustering might occur. First, groups of genes may have a similar expression pattern across different samples. Because genes involved in the same functional pathway tend to have similar expression patterns, such clusters might provide insights into novel genes. The second type of clustering is if there are classes, such as tumour, disease or tissue type, in the samples. Once such groups are known, supervised data mining techniques can be applied. For example, to classify entities into known classes, such as tumours, diseases or therapies, one could apply discriminant analysis, nearest-neighbour methods, artificial neural networks, Bayesian networks, support-vector machines, decisions trees, boosting, bagging, random forests, or independent component analysis.

Another important type of data in bioinformatics is the three-dimensional structural description of proteins and other biologically important molecules. Although there are computer-based efforts to determine protein structure from basic sequence information, the full ‘protein-folding’ problem is considered a grand challenge in the domain of advanced supercomputing research. Three-dimensional protein structure carries much more biologically relevant
Complex systems: tested with confidence?

Bayesian belief networks hold the key to testing complex systems systematically, David Wooff believes

When it comes to a small system, such as the software used to timetable classes in a small school, a human being – perhaps one of the teachers – should be able to test the software effectively. There are only a few inputs and outputs. The teacher probably has good experience of previous years’ timetables, and so they can use this information to guide the testing process. The teacher can focus testing so as to spot obvious problems or to highlight areas where the teacher has least confidence – for example a software upgrade allowing new functionality. The teacher might make some mistakes, but would otherwise be expected to do a pretty good job. More or less everything is contained ‘in the tester’s head’ – the tester has sufficient personal expertise, and the problem is sufficiently small-scale, to allow good testing.

For a much larger system, such as the software used to timetable classes across a large multi-faculty, multi-site university, there may still be a single person with overall responsibility for testing the software. There is usually still a lot of expertise and historic information available to focus testing, if only the tester knew how. Generally, it is possible to test only a small fraction of the many combinations of inputs, and to check the resulting outputs. Where does the tester begin? The problem has outgrown, by orders of magnitude, what the tester might hope to accomplish in their head.

There are three principles as to how to tackle the testing of large-scale, complex systems. First, the testing problem is statistical, in that it involves handling and managing uncertainties. For example:

- How much confidence is there in the quality of the system and the development process?
- How much testing is needed? How much time and resource and how many people are needed for a particular level of reliability?
- When there is an existing test set, how

Diego Kuonen is CEO of Statoo Consulting, Lausanne, which provides statistical consulting, data analysis and data mining services. Email: kuonen@statoo.com